

## What Did We Hear at PIC #2? (Continued)

The following is a summary of the relative importance of the evaluation criteria based on the responses we received at PIC #2.

		Number of responses		
		Very Important	Somewhat important	Not important
Aquatic Environmental/ Surface Water	Potential for disturbance to aquatic habitat	33	2	1
	Potential for removal of aquatic habitat from road improvements (e.g. culvert extensions)	33	4	0
Terrestrial Environment	Potential for disturbance to natural habitat	32	3	0
	Potential for removal of natural habitat from road improvements	34	2	0
	Potential for increased wildlife kills	30	4	1
Land Uses	Potential for disruption effects to sensitive planned land uses	31	3	0
	Potential for removal of planned land uses from road improvements	28	5	0
	Conformity with applicable plans and policies	28	7	0
Social Environment and Community Impacts	Potential for disruption to residents	34	1	0
	Human health	34	1	0
	Potential for disruption to users of recreation facilities, community features and institutions	30	5	0
	Potential for displacement/removal of residents & residential property from road improvements	30	5	0
	Potential for removal of recreation, community features & institutions	31	3	0
Economic Environment and Business Impacts	Potential for disruption to business enterprises	26	9	1
	Potential for removal of business enterprises and/or property	27	8	1
	Potential for affect on property values	31	4	0
	Potential for effect on agricultural operations	28	9	0
Cultural and Heritage Resources	Potential for disturbance to built heritage	21	6	0
	Potential for effects on archaeological resources	24	9	2
Transportation	Change in road service	30	4	1
	Potential for change in road safety level	34	0	0
	Potential for impact on alternative transportation modes	30	2	1
Cost	Estimated infrastructure costs	27	3	0